

## Input / Output Metrics – Individual Nation’s Fact Sheet Year: 2011

Denmark	Selected Indicator	Abs. value	Contribution (%)	NATO Guideline (%)	Rank
1. Percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) on Defence	Defence Expenditures (current prices, mil. of national currency):	24,259	1.36	2	Middle
2. Percentage of Defence Expenditure on Major Equipment and Associated Research and Development	Major Equipment Expenditures (current prices, mil. of national currency):	2,348	9.68	20	Low
3A. Percentage of Implementation of Quantitative National Targets (Year: 2016) <sup>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6</sup>		-	60.18	100	Low
3B. Percentage of Implementation of Qualitative National Targets (Year: 2016) <sup>7, 8, 9, 10</sup>		-	47.90	100	Low
4A. Deployable Land Forces Personnel as a Percentage of Land Forces Personnel	Deployable Land Forces Personnel (unit):	5,546	57.77	50	Top
4B. Deployable Airframes as a Percentage of Airframes	Deployable Airframes (unit):	45	67.16	40	Top
4C. Deployable Vessels as a Percentage of Vessels	Deployable Vessels (unit):	8	72.73	80	Middle
5A. Sustainable Land Forces Personnel as a Percentage of Land Forces Personnel	Sustainable Land Forces Personnel (unit):	1,339	13.95	10	Top
5B. Sustainable Airframes as a Percentage of Airframes	Sustainable Airframes (unit):	7	10.45	8	Top
5C. Sustainable Vessels as a Percentage of Vessels	Sustainable Vessels (unit):	1	9.09	28	Middle
6A. Land Forces Personnel Deployed Abroad on NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Land Forces Personnel	Land Forces Personnel Deployed Abroad on NATO Operations (unit):	1,387	25.01		Top
6B. Land Forces Personnel Deployed Abroad on non-NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Land Forces Personnel	Land Forces Personnel Deployed Abroad on non-NATO Operations (unit):	182	3.27		Top
6C. Land Forces Personnel Deployed Abroad on NATO and non-NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Land Forces Personnel	Land Forces Personnel Deployed Abroad on NATO and non-NATO Operations (unit):	1,569	28.28		Top
7A. Airframes Deployed Abroad on NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Airframes	Airframes Deployed Abroad on NATO Operations (unit):	4	8.23		Top
7B. Airframes Deployed Abroad on non-NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Airframes	Airframes Deployed Abroad on non-NATO Operations (unit):	0	0.28		Middle
7C. Airframes Deployed Abroad on NATO and non-NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Airframes	Airframes Deployed Abroad on NATO and non-NATO Operations (unit):	4	8.51		Middle
8A. Vessels Deployed Abroad on NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Vessels	Vessels Deployed Abroad on NATO Operations (unit):	1	9.38		Top
8B. Vessels Deployed Abroad on non-NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Vessels	Vessels Deployed Abroad on non-NATO Operations (unit):	0	0.53		Middle
8C. Vessels Deployed Abroad on NATO and non-NATO Operations as a Percentage of Deployable Vessels	Vessels Deployed Abroad on NATO and non-NATO Operations (unit):	1	9.90		Top
9. Percentage of Immediate Response Force (IRF) Fulfilment		-	148.35	100	Top

<sup>1</sup> Base year: 2016.

<sup>2</sup> Reference: DPCS 2011 as of June 2011.

<sup>3</sup> Contributions two years later than requested: adjusted at 80%.

<sup>4</sup> Weighting system was used to address the relative magnitude of each force contribution.

<sup>5</sup> Only very limited assessment of quality of forces included.

<sup>6</sup> Does not assess readiness discrepancies unless significant.

<sup>7</sup> Measures degree of implementation of force goals (which seek to address qualitative shortfalls of forces) within the required timeframes.

<sup>8</sup> Can only be considered as a very rough measurement of the progress in transforming forces.

<sup>9</sup> Measurement is imprecise, especially for force goals with multiple requirements or for force goals with a very long implementation time.

<sup>10</sup> Weighting factor for each force goal takes into consideration effort and resources over the period of time required to implement it. However, it does not take into consideration that the level of effort to implement a force goal is different from nation to nation.